

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/607,602 06/30/2000		Matthew Joseph Doyle	8141	8543	
	27752	7590 09/23/2003				
	THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			EXAMINER		
	CINCINNATI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/607,602		DOYLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>27 April 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

1 The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

••		hea	ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page I line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A s	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Oth	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Pat Las Can

9/17/03